

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,977	11/09/2001	David A. Nicholas	1273 CIP CON FWC CON		
7590 01/06/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
United States Surgical, a division of TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP 150 Glover Avenue Norwalk, CT 06856			WEBB, SA	WEBB, SARAH K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$ \mathcal{P}$	
<i>1</i>	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/037,977	NICHOLAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Sarah K Webb	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 November 2004.						
•—	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
	,					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 46-48 and 50-56 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-48 and 50-56</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/30/04</u>. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 8/30/04 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent 5,383,888 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.

An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

Claim Objections

2. Claim 48 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "graspers" is misspelled in line 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 46-48 and 51-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 46 now states, "an endoscopic portion extending distally from and being rotatable in relation to the handle portion..." This is unclear, because the tubular portion (20) of the endoscopic portion is described as being fixed in line 30 of page 9 of the specification.

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 46-48, 51-53, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 4,880,015 (Nierman).

Nierman discloses an instrument that includes a handle portion (43,44), elongated tube (45), articulating portion (54 in Figure 7), tool head with two cooperating jaws (46), a link to pivot the articulation portion (56 in Figure 7), and an actuation member (47) to open and close the jaws. The actuation member is a flexible cable (column 7, line 63). The jaws can be described as "graspers." The handle portion (see Figure 11) includes a fixed handle (43) and a movable handle (42) that is connected to the link (56) by a "rod" (48) (column 8, lines 51-65). The articulating portion can pivot 90 degrees (column 8, lines 64). As shown clearly in Figure 7, the tubular shaft (45) includes a longitudinal slot (65) at the distal end for accommodating the articulating portion. In the background portion of the disclosure, Nierman teaches that it is well known in the art to include a "rotatable wing member" (22 in Figure 1) to effect rotation of the articulating portion (column 2, lines 34-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/037,977

Art Unit: 3731

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nierman in view of US Patent No. 5,350,391 to lacovelli.

Nierman fails to form the jaws as "multiple blade members." Iacovelli discloses a similar endoscopic device to Nierman and teaches that jaws can be in the form of scissors, tweezers, or forceps, which are all considered to meet the broad limitation "multiple blade members" (see Figures 13-16 and column 5, line 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the jaws of Nierman with blade members, as taught by Iacovelli, as this is a simple modification to the device that merely alters the shape of the jaws.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,977

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhthuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKW SKW

JULIAN W. WOO.... PRIMARY EXAMINER

Julian W. Woo